



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/829,760	04/10/2001		David O. Chenault	RUN600.00/00043A	9599
75	590 0	06/03/2003			
Gable & Gotwals				EXAMINER	
Attn: Paul H. Johnson 100 West Fifth Street 10th Floor			PASCHALL	, MARK H	
Tulsa, OK 741	03			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3742	
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			111			
2 3	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/829,760	CHENAULT, DAV	ID O.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark H Paschall	3742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may ly within the statutory minimum of t will apply and will expire SIX (6) M a. cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timel  ONTHS from the mailing date of this of  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ The	nis action is non-final.	,				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	ance except for formal n Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (	natters, prosecution as to th C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	ie merits is			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	pted or b) objected to by	y the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>						
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li></ul>	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	).	Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).			
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pressured to the properties of the foreign language pressured to the properties of the foreign language pressured to the foreign language.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2</li> </ol>	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PT				
0. D						

Application/Control Number: 09/829,760

Art Unit: 3742

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6,8-14,16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Omi et al in view of Eggleston. Ohmi et al teach the claimed subject matter except for showing monitoring of the welding operation via a printer in communication with a computer. However, it is conventional to use a printer with a computer. Eggleston evidences this using printer 76 in Figure 4. The benefit is more data to the operator which leads to more effective welding techniques. In view of this teaching it would have been obvious to modify Ohmi et al to connect a printer to the computer 13, to effect more effective welding techniques, and to obtain a record of the welding. The claims call for using department of transportation guidelines, and it is obvious that one skilled in the welding art would be guided by the appropriate construction codes, barring further description of such codes in the claimed system.

Claims 7 and 15 are 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohmi et al in view Eggleston further in view of Merle. Ohmi et al as modified teach the claimed subject matter except for using the ambient temperature as one of the welding parameters. However, as set forth in Merle, it is conventional to effect the welding

Application/Control Number: 09/829,760

Art Unit: 3742

operation by using ambient temperature as one of the welding parameters and in view of this teaching it would have been obvious to modify. Ohmi et al with the same, this benefit leading to a more accurate temperature control process.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sauron et al and Nussbaum et al are cited for disclosing pertinent heating control systems for thermoplastic fittings.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark H Paschall whose telephone number is 703 308-1642. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am - 3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teresa Walberg can be reached on 703 308-1327. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 305-3463 for regular communications and 703 395-3463 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-0861.

Mark H Paschall Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742

\*\*\*

June 2, 2003